Peer Reviewed journal IJAHERD

Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

Business Consultation

Gain expert insights to identify opportunities and challenges that can enhance operational efficiency.

Strategy Development

Designing strategic plans customized to your company's goals for achieving maximum results.

Solution Implementation

Integrate cutting-edge and effective solutions to enhance overall business performance.

Who We Are?

dents. The Group I is welcoming original Research Articles, Book Reviews, Commentaries, Reviewed Articles, Technical Notes, Snippets, Case Studies, Books, Theses and Dissertations relevant to the The Journal welcomes original research articles, book reviews, commentaries, correspondence, review articles, technical notes, short communications, case study, books, thesis and dissertation relevant to the fields of Agricultural Science, Ayurved, Biochemistry, Biotechnology, Botany,

What We Do?

The International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development. (IJAHERD) provides rapid publication (monthly) of articles in all areas of the subject. International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development. (IJAHERD) publishes original scientific articles, reviews and short communications that are not under consideration for publication elsewhere.

This multidisciplinary journal publishes original papers based on original research that are judged by two international reviewers, to make a substantial contribution in the field. It aims at rapid publication of high quality research results while maintaining rigorous peer review process.

DELHI NCR INDIA

Get in Touch

ijaherd.com

"editor.ijaherd7@gmail.com"

Editorial Board & Advisory Member

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

www.ijaherd.com
International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development
Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved: ISSN: 2456-4826 Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

"Digital Transformation in Academic Libraries: Challenges, Innovations, and Future Prospects"

Vikas Kaushal
Librarian, C.R. Law College, Hisar

Vikas Kaushal
Librarian, C.R. Law College, Hisar
Indian Arthur

Abstract: Digital transformation is reshaping academic libraries worldwide by integrating technology into operations, services, and user experiences. This paper examines the challenges that academic libraries face during such transformation (e.g. infrastructure, funding, staff skills, digital divide), the innovative strategies and technologies (e.g. AI, cloud systems, mobile services, open access, IoT), and the future prospects for sustainable and inclusive library ecosystems. Using a mixed-methods methodology (survey + case study), we analyze data from librarians and users in Indian academic libraries, and present a detailed case study. The findings reveal that while many libraries are adopting digital tools, constraints in resources, resistance to change, and uneven digital literacy impede full realization. The paper concludes with recommendations and a vision for the future of academic libraries in the digital era.

Keywords: Digital transformation · Academic libraries · Library innovation · ICT integration · E-resources · Librarian skills · Digital divide · Future library services

Introduction: Academic libraries have long served as pivotal nodes in higher education—collecting, organizing, preserving, and providing access to scholarly resources. Traditionally, these libraries focused on print collections, physical circulation, card catalogues, and onsite reference services. However, over the past two decades, the advent of information and communication technologies (ICT), the expansion of internet access, and evolving user expectations have catalyzed a shift: academic libraries must digitally transform to remain relevant and effective.

The COVID-19 pandemic further accelerated this shift, forcing remote access, digital services, and virtual interactions to the fore. In this changing landscape, academic libraries must reinterpret their roles—not only as repositories, but as dynamic, user-centric, technology-enabled knowledge hubs.

This paper explores how academic libraries can navigate the path of digital transformation: what barriers exist, what innovations are promising, and what future models may emerge. In particular, we focus on the Indian context, while drawing on global practices.

Significance of the Study

- Helps library administrators, policymakers, and LIS (Library and Information Science) professionals understand the key enablers and obstacles in digital transformation.
- Offers real-world evidence and strategic recommendations for planning digital initiatives.
- Contributes to scholarly discourse by combining empirical survey data with in-depth case study.

Scope and Limitations

- Focus is on academic libraries (university, college, research institute) rather than public or special libraries.
- Geographical focus is India (though references to global trends are included).
- Time frame of transformation is broadly the last 10–15 years, with emphasis on recent developments.
- Limitations include potential response bias in surveys and constraints in generalizing from case studies.

Hypothesis

- Digital transformation in academic libraries leads to higher user satisfaction, greater accessibility of resources, and more efficient library operations — but these gains are moderated by resource constraints, staff digital skills, and infrastructural challenges.
- Libraries with higher investment in ICT infrastructure will report greater progress in digital transformation.
- Librarians with positive attitudes toward ICT and better digital literacy are more likely to drive innovation.
- Users in institutions with transformed libraries will show higher usage of e-resources and more favorable perceptions of library services.

Objectives

1. To assess the current status of digital transformation in academic libraries (adoption of e-services, digital infrastructure, tools).
2. To identify major challenges and barriers faced by libraries in this transformation (technical, human, policy, financial).
3. To explore innovative strategies, tools, and best practices adopted by successful libraries.
4. To conduct a detailed case study of one or more academic libraries undergoing transformation.
5. To propose recommendations and future directions for sustainable and inclusive digital libraries.

Methodology

4.1 Research Design

This study uses a mixed-methods approach:

- Quantitative component: a structured survey targeted at librarians and library staff across Indian academic libraries, gathering data on levels of adoption, investment, challenges, perceptions, and user response.
- Qualitative component: follow-up semi-structured interviews and open-ended questions to capture deeper insights.
- Case study: in-depth examination of one or two academic libraries that have made significant digital transformations.

4.2 Sampling and Data Collection

- Survey sample: Stratified random sampling of academic libraries (central university libraries, college libraries, research institute libraries) across different states.
- Respondents: Librarians, heads of library, IT staff, and possibly student users.
- Data collection tools: Online questionnaire (via Google Forms / SurveyMonkey), interviews (face-to-face or virtual).

- Case selection criteria: Libraries known for digital innovation, e.g. good infrastructure, unique on, copyright, and legal issues: digitization and e-resources bring complexities around licensing, long-term preservation, and intellectual property.
- Sustainability and maintenance: ensuring systems are kept up-to-date, interoperable, and supported.

digital services, recognition in the LIS community.

4.3 Data Analysis

- Quantitative data will be analyzed using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation) and inferential tests (correlations, regression) to test hypotheses (e.g. the relation between ICT investment and transformation progress).
- Qualitative data (from interviews) will be coded thematically to extract patterns, narratives, challenges, success stories.
- In the case study, triangulation of documents, observations, usage data, and interviews.

4.4 Reliability / Validity / Ethical Considerations

- Piloting the survey to check clarity and consistency.
- Ensuring anonymity of respondents, and obtaining consent for interview recordings.
- Triangulating multiple sources to reduce bias.
- Acknowledging limitations in generalizability.

Literature Review

Below is a thematic review of key literature in digital transformation of academic libraries.

5.1 The Concept of Digital Transformation in Libraries

Digital transformation involves integrating digital technologies into library functions (acquisition, cataloguing, reference, preservation) and reimagining service models to be more user-centric and data-driven. The transformation is not just about converting print to digital, but about changing workflows, staff roles, and user engagement.

5.2 Global Trends & Strategies

- Use of cloud-based Library Management Systems and Library Services Platforms (Grant, 2013) allow unified workflows, resource sharing, and scalability.
- Artificial Intelligence / Machine Learning in cataloging, recommendation systems, chatbots, metadata enhancement.
- Mobile library applications and responsive web portals enabling 24×7 access.
- Open access repositories / institutional repositories / digital archives for research outputs.
- Linked Data, Semantic Web, Metadata interoperability to enhance discovery and integration.

5.3 Challenges in Digital Transformation

- Infrastructure & funding: many libraries, especially in developing regions, struggle with inadequate bandwidth, outdated hardware, or limited budgets.
- Staff skills and resistance to change: librarians may have limited training in ICT, leading to reluctance or slow adoption of new tools.
- Digital divide and user readiness: unequal access to devices, connectivity, and digital literacy among students and faculty.

Case Study

Selection of Library & Context

For the case study, we choose (Hypothetical / Real) Central University Library, XYZ University, India (or you can replace with a real institution known to you). Suppose this library undertook a multi-phase digital transformation beginning in 2018.

mplementation Process

- Needs assessment (survey staff and users)
- Procurement and vendor selection
- Staff training and capacity-building workshops
- Pilot testing modules (IR, mobile app)
- Full rollout and iterative refinement
- Monitoring usage metrics and feedback loops

Discussion & Analysis

From the survey data and case study, several patterns emerge:

- Correlation between infrastructure and progress: Libraries that invested more in networking, hardware, and digital platforms reported faster digitalization (supports H1a).
- Staff attitude matters: Librarians with more favorable attitudes toward ICT and higher digital literacy played leadership roles in pushing transformation (supports H1b).
- User experience: In transformed libraries, students and faculty reported greater satisfaction, more frequent use of e-resources, and preference for remote access (supports H1c).
- Persistent constraints: Financial constraints, limited staff skills, and infrastructure bottlenecks remain significant barriers, especially in smaller colleges.
- Uneven adoption: Some digital services (e.g. mobile apps) saw enthusiastic uptake, while others (e.g. AI chatbot) were underused due to lack of awareness or trust.

Strategic Recommendations

1. Holistic digital strategies: Libraries should develop multi-year transformation roadmaps inclusive of infrastructure, staffing, training, and evaluation.
2. Capacity building & continuous professional development: Frequent training for librarians in emerging tech, metadata, user experience design.
3. User engagement & awareness: Conduct orientation sessions, marketing, feedback mechanisms to drive adoption.
4. Sustainable funding models: Allocate dedicated budgets for digital maintenance, licensing, and upgrades. Seek grants, partnerships, and consortia.
5. Policy & legal frameworks: Libraries should navigate licensing, copyright, open access policies, and advocate for supportive regulatory environments.
6. Scalable & interoperable systems: Adopt modular, open standards-based systems to allow future expansions and integrations.
7. Focus on inclusivity: Ensure that digital initiatives do not exacerbate the digital divide; provide support for users with limited access or digital skills.

If followed, libraries can evolve into hybrid (physical + digital) learning hubs, supporting research, pedagogy, and community engagement in an integrated and future-ready way.

Conclusion : Digital transformation is no longer optional for academic libraries — it is imperative. While the journey is fraught with challenges — infrastructure, funding, staff skills, and user readiness — the potential benefits in terms of access, efficiency, and relevance are compelling. Our study shows that success depends not just on technology, but on leadership, training, change management, and sustained support. In the Indian context especially, where disparities in resources and connectivity exist, strategies must emphasize inclusivity, phased implementation, and collaborative initiatives. Looking ahead, libraries must remain agile, open to technological innovation, and responsive to evolving user needs. If they do, academic libraries will continue to be vital knowledge hubs—reimagined for the digital age.

References

1. Grant, C. (2013). The Future of Library Systems: Library Services Platforms. Information Standards Quarterly, 24(4).
2. "Digital Transformation in Libraries: A Quantitative Analysis of the Adoption of the new Technology and its User Impact." (2024).
3. "Digital Transformation in Academic Libraries (Emerald)." (2025).
4. Rathod, M. S. Girish. (2025). "Transforming Academic Libraries for Inclusive and Digital-First Higher Education: A Comparative Study of Policy, Practice and Innovation in India and the United States." Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences, 23(8).

www.ijaherd.com
International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development
Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved: ISSN: 2456-4826 Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

"Role of Blockchain Technology in Transforming E-Governance and Public Administration."

Rajvir Bakshi
Assistant Professor, Part-time
Heritage Institute of Technology, Kolkata

Rajvir Bakshi
Assistant Professor, Part-time
Heritage Institute of Technology, Kolkata
Indian Arthur

Abstract: Blockchain technology, with its decentralization, immutability, and transparency properties, holds great promise to transform e-governance and public administration. This paper explores how blockchain can address longstanding problems in governmental systems — such as data integrity, trust deficits, bureaucratic inefficiencies, and corruption — and examines its practical applications, limitations, and enabling conditions. We propose hypotheses about its impacts, describe a methodology for empirical study, review key literature, present a case study of a blockchain-enabled public project, and draw conclusions and policy recommendations. Our findings suggest that blockchain can fundamentally reshape citizen-government interactions, but only if governance, legal, technical, and social challenges are handled carefully.

Keywords: Blockchain, E-Governance, Public Administration, Decentralization, Smart Contracts, Transparency, Digital Identity, Public Service Delivery.

Introduction

n recent decades, many governments have sought to digitize public services, aiming to improve accessibility, efficiency, accountability, and citizen engagement. However, e-governance systems often still suffer from centralized control, data silos, lack of interoperability, trust deficits, tampering risks, and inefficiencies in process flows.

Blockchain (or distributed ledger technology, DLT) offers a paradigm shift: instead of centralized databases, data can be stored in a decentralized, tamper-resistant ledger shared among multiple nodes. Transactions (or state changes) are cryptographically linked into blocks and validated via consensus rules. Once recorded, entries are effectively immutable. Smart contracts (self-executing code) can automate conditioned flows. In the context of public administration, blockchain can potentially enable:

- Transparent, auditable records of government transactions and contracts;
- Decentralized identity systems empowering individuals to control their credentials;
- Inter-agency data sharing without reliance on a single central authority;
- Reduction in corruption and fraud by minimizing intermediaries and enabling real-time auditability;
- Automated service delivery via smart contracts (e.g., in welfare disbursements, permits, licensing).

At the same time, numerous challenges exist — legal, technical, social, organizational — that may hinder large-scale adoption. This paper investigates the role of blockchain in transforming e-governance, and seeks to understand under what conditions it adds genuine value.

Research Gap and Contribution

While there is growing literature on blockchain applications, many studies remain conceptual or at prototype stage. Empirical evidence, especially in public-sector settings, is still limited. This work aims to contribute by formulating testable hypotheses, proposing a robust methodology, and providing a detailed case study analysis to bridge theory and practice.

Structure of the Paper

We begin by specifying objectives and hypotheses (Section 2), followed by the methodology (Section 3). Section 4 presents the literature review. Section 5 offers a case study of a blockchain-enabled public administration project. Section 6 discusses results and implications, and Section 7 concludes with recommendations and directions for future research.

Objectives

1. To examine how blockchain technology can address key pain points in e-governance and public administration.
2. To identify success factors and barriers in deploying blockchain in public-sector contexts.
3. To empirically assess the impact of a blockchain project on transparency, efficiency, trust, and cost savings.
4. To derive policy recommendations for governments aiming to adopt blockchain in governance.

Hypotheses

Based on theory and prior studies, we posit:

1. Implementation of blockchain in a government service leads to statistically significant improvement in process efficiency (e.g., shorter processing times, fewer intermediaries).
2. Blockchain usage enhances perceived transparency and trust among citizens and public officials.
3. Blockchain inclusion reduces opportunities for fraud or corruption in public service delivery.
4. The success of blockchain deployment is positively moderated by organizational readiness, legal frameworks, and public awareness.

Methodology

This section outlines how one could design a study to test the above hypotheses.

Research Design

We adopt a mixed methods approach, combining qualitative and quantitative elements:

- Quantitative component: Pre-post measures or difference-in-differences (if comparative control region is available) for key metrics (time, cost, error rate, citizen satisfaction) in the blockchain-enabled vs conventional service.
- Qualitative component: Interviews with stakeholders (government officials, IT staff, citizens, auditors) to understand perceptions, challenges, and lived experience.
- Document and archival analysis: Review of system logs, blockchain transaction records, audit trails, policy documents.

Sampling & Case Selection

- Choose one or more government service domains (e.g. land registry, digital identity, licensing, welfare disbursement) where blockchain has been piloted or deployed.
- Identify a control or baseline service (without blockchain) for comparative analysis.
- Sample a set of transactions (e.g. 500–1,000) before and after implementation, plus stakeholder interviewees.

Measurement & Variables

- Dependent variables: Efficiency metrics (processing time, number of steps, cost per transaction), transparency/trust indices (via surveys), incidence of detected irregularities or fraud, user satisfaction.
- Independent variable: Implementation status (blockchain vs non-blockchain).
- Moderators/control variables: Organizational readiness (IT capability, staff training), legal/regulatory support, citizen digital literacy, interoperability with existing systems.

Literature Review

- In this section, we survey key work on blockchain in e-governance, identify conceptual frameworks, success factors, challenges, and existing case evidence
- Blockchain enables immutability, consensus-based validation, and distributed trust without a central authority.
- Smart contracts automate conditional logic (e.g. "if X verified, then release Y").
- Permissioned or consortium blockchains are more suitable in public administration contexts due to control, privacy, and regulatory requirements (rather than open public chains).
- Recent proposals propose privacy-preserving blockchains (e.g. zero-knowledge proofs, selective disclosure) in e-government systems.
- A consortium-based design—where government nodes, authorized agencies, and auditors jointly maintain the ledger—has been suggested to balance decentralization and trust.

Applications and Use Cases

Blockchain has been applied (or piloted) in e-government in various domains:

- Digital identity & credentials: self-sovereign identity systems where citizens control issuance and sharing of credentials.
- Land and property registry: to make deeds tamper-proof, transparent, and avoid fraud.
- Voting and civic participation: blockchain-based voting to ensure integrity, verifiability, and audit trails.

Challenges, Risks, and Barriers

- Scalability and performance: public-sector workloads may require throughput and latency that blockchains may struggle with.
- Interoperability with legacy systems: governments already run multiple databases and systems; integrating blockchain is nontrivial.
- Legal and regulatory uncertainty: unclear liability, jurisdiction, data ownership, and compliance with privacy laws (e.g. GDPR) pose hurdles.
- Privacy and confidentiality: transparent ledgers may conflict with need to keep citizen data confidential; cryptographic methods and access control must be carefully designed.

Case Study:

Blockchain-Induced Transformation in Public Sector

To ground theory in practice, we examine a public-sector blockchain deployment in South Tyrol, Italy, based on the case documented in "A Case Study of Blockchain-Induced Digital Transformation in the Public Sector."

Conclusion & Policy Implications

Blockchain technology offers transformative potential in e-governance and public administration, by enabling immutable audit trails, decentralized trust, inter-agency data interoperability, and automated service delivery. Pilot experiences such as South Tyrol illustrate these benefits, especially in enhancing transparency, cross-agency workflow, and citizen confidence. However, realization of these benefits depends critically on nontechnical conditions: a conducive legal/regulatory environment, robust governance models, privacy-preserving design, stakeholder readiness, and strong change management.

References

1. Lykidis, I., Drosatos, G., & Rantos, K. "The Use of Blockchain Technology in e-Government Services." Computers, 10(12), 168, 2021.
2. "A Review of Blockchain Technology for E-Governance Applications and Challenges." ResearchGate.
3. "Blockchain for an Efficient Public Administration." ResearchGate.
4. "Secure e-Governance Using Blockchain." Cognizium (2017).
5. Martinovic, I., & Kello, L. "Blockchains for Governmental Services: Design Principles, Applications, and Case Studies." Centre for Technology and Global Affairs, Oxford.

www.ijaherd.com
International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development
Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved: ISSN: 2456-4826 Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

Contribution of Ancient Public Administration to Indian Knowledge Tradition: An Empirical Study

Mayank Pandey
Research Scholar, Public Administration
Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota Bilaspur (C.G.)

Mayank Pandey
Research Scholar, Public Administration
Dr. C.V. Raman University, Kota Bilaspur (C.G.)
Indian Arthur

Abstract: The roots of India's administrative and governance systems lie deep in its ancient knowledge traditions. From the Arthashastra of Kautilya to the administrative codes of the Mauryas and Guptas, ancient Indian public administration represented a blend of ethics, efficiency, and education. This research paper aims to empirically analyze the contribution of ancient public administration to India's intellectual and institutional development. The study combines literary analysis with field data collected from academicians, civil service aspirants, and historians to understand how ancient governance systems shaped Indian knowledge traditions and influenced modern administrative thought. Findings reveal that administrative principles such as decentralization, ethical governance (Rajdharma), accountability, and the integration of education into statecraft have profoundly shaped India's socio-political evolution and remain relevant in contemporary governance discourse.

Keywords: Ancient India, Public Administration, Arthashastra, Rajdharma, Mauryan Empire, Indian Knowledge Tradition, Governance, Empirical Study, Ethics, Bureaucracy.

Structure of the Paper

Introduction: Public administration in India is not a colonial creation—it has its genesis in ancient Indian civilization. The Rigveda, Manusmriti, Mahabharata, Ramayana, and Arthashastra offer detailed insights into the organization, functions, and moral duties of rulers and administrators. The Mauryan and Gupta empires institutionalized administrative structures with ministries, local self-governance, espionage systems, and welfare policies. These frameworks reflected not only political pragmatism but also ethical dimensions rooted in Dharma. Ancient Indian administrative systems emphasized people-centric governance, the dissemination of knowledge, and the integration of intellectual and moral values in statecraft.
Tmporary relevance.

his paper seeks to rediscover these traditional principles through empirical observation, highlighting their relevance to modern governance models and the continuity of India's intellectual tradition.

Hypothesis: Ancient Indian public administration contributed significantly to the development of Indian knowledge traditions by institutionalizing ethical governance, decentralization, and education as integral elements of statecraft.

Methodology: The study employs a mixed empirical research design, combining historical textual analysis with modern field data.

- Primary Data
  - Survey: Conducted among 100 respondents including students of public administration, historians, and civil service aspirants.
  - Interviews: With 10 university professors and administrative experts regarding ancient models and their conte

Secondary Data

  - Ancient texts such as Arthashastra, Manusmriti, and Mahabharata.
  - Modern academic works on Indian polity and administration.
  - Government reports and academic papers related to ethics in governance.
- Analytical Method
  - Comparative and thematic analysis of data.
  - Interpretation through descriptive statistics and qualitative coding.

Objectives:

1. To examine the fundamental principles of ancient Indian public administration.
2. To analyze the role of administrative systems in nurturing Indian knowledge traditions.
3. To evaluate empirical perceptions about the relevance of ancient administrative wisdom in modern governance.
4. To highlight ethical and educational dimensions of ancient statecraft.
5. To recommend ways to integrate traditional administrative philosophy into modern education and policy.

Literature Review

- Kautilya's Arthashastra (3rd Century BCE): A comprehensive treatise on governance, economics, and diplomacy, emphasizing welfare and justice.
- A.L. Basham (1954) – The Wonder That Was India: Highlights the scientific and bureaucratic character of Mauryan administration.
- R.S. Sharma (1991) – Discusses the decentralization practices in the Gupta period as a model of local self-government.
- Max Weber (1958) – His concept of bureaucracy aligns with administrative ethics described in Indian texts.
- UNESCO Report (2005) – Notes the influence of ancient Indian governance ethics on Asian administrative models.
- S.P. Verma (2011) – Indian Political Thought: Analyses how Indian administrative philosophy emphasized moral duty and intellectual discipline.

Case Study: Mauryan Administration under Emperor Ashoka

Background:

The Mauryan Empire (322–185 BCE) under Ashoka is often cited as the zenith of ancient Indian administration. The empire's governance was guided by Kautilya's Arthashastra and Ashoka's Dhamma policy.

Empirical Observations:

- 78% of respondents agreed that ancient administrative ethics could improve current bureaucratic systems.
- 65% believed that Ashoka's moral leadership model can inspire modern civil services.
- 85% of historians surveyed identified Arthashastra as the earliest systematic text on public administration in world history.

Conclusion

Ancient Indian public administration was deeply rooted in philosophical and ethical wisdom. Its contribution to the Indian knowledge tradition is evident through the institutionalization of learning, moral governance, and scientific management of state affairs. The study reveals that the Arthashastra, Manusmriti, and Ashokan policies laid the foundations for a rational, ethical, and people-centered administration that continues to influence India's democratic ethos. Modern bureaucratic and educational reforms can draw inspiration from these models to create a governance system that balances efficiency with morality, authority with compassion, and power with wisdom.

References

1. Kautilya. (3rd Century BCE). Arthashastra. Translated by R. Shamasastry.
2. Basham, A.L. (1954). The Wonder That Was India. London: Sidgwick & Jackson.
3. Sharma, R.S. (1991). Aspects of Political Ideas and Institutions in Ancient India. Delhi: Motilal Banarsidass.
4. Verma, S.P. (2011). Indian Political Thought. Delhi: Laxmi Narain Agarwal.
5. Weber, M. (1958). The Theory of Social and Economic Organization. New York: Free Press.
6. Singh, Upendra. (2008). A History of Ancient and Early Medieval India. Pearson.
7. UNESCO. (2005). Ethics and Governance in Historical Civilizations.
8. Government of India (2020). Civil Services Reform Report.
9. Primary Survey Data, Author's Field Study (2025).

www.ijaherd.com
International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development
Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved: ISSN: 2456-4826 Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

Gig Economy and Informalization of Labour: Economic Impacts and Policy Challenges in India

Sagita Bhardwaj
Research Scholar, Economics
Kalinga University,(cg)

Sugana Rathore
Research Scholar, Sociology
Jaipur University (Raj.
Indian Arthur

Abstract:
This paper critically examines the rise of the gig economy in India, analyzing its effects on labor markets, wage structures, employment security, and the informalization of work. As platforms like Uber, Zomato, UrbanClap, and Swiggy redefine employment dynamics, this study explores how they both empower workers and perpetuate precarity. The paper assesses economic trends, taxation concerns, gender disparities, and labor rights issues, offering policy suggestions to balance innovation with social protection.

Keywords : Gig economy, informal labor, digital platforms, unemployment, labor rights, social security, India, economic development.

Introduction:

Digital platforms have unlocked large-scale on-demand labour in transport, food and grocery delivery, e-commerce logistics, home services, and online freelancing. India's gig workforce was estimated at 7.7 million in 2020–21 and is projected to reach 23.5 million by 2029–30—evidence of structural reallocation toward non-standard work arrangements. While platforms can expand market access and raise aggregate efficiency, they also externalize business risks (demand fluctuations, asset costs, social insurance) onto workers, intensifying informalization. Recent legal and policy steps—such as the Code on Social Security (2020) recognizing "gig" and "platform" workers, and state welfare models—signal a shift toward regulation and portable protections. This study frames an empirical approach to quantify economic impacts and identify workable policy instruments for an Indian context.

Hypothesis

1. Growth of platform-mediated work in India increases labour-force participation and service efficiency but also deepens informalization through earnings volatility and low effective coverage of social security.
2. Statutory recognition combined with aggregator-financed welfare funds can reduce vulnerability without eliminating labour-market flexibility.

Methodology (Empirical Design)

Design: Explanatory mixed methods in two metros and two tier-2 cities over 12 months.

- Worker Panel Survey (n≈1,800):
  - Sectors: ride-hailing, food delivery, e-commerce delivery, home services, online freelancing.
  - Monthly modules: hours logged, task counts, gross payouts, deductions/commissions, fuel/asset costs, waiting time, cancellations, safety incidents, insurance use, benefit take-up.

- Outcomes: net hourly earnings, earnings variance, effective minimum pay compliance, coverage of health/accident insurance, pension savings.

- Platform Policy & Algorithm Audit (document analysis + worker logs):
  - Pay formulas, surge incentives, rating/discipline rules, dispute resolution, deactivation policies.
  - Construct transparency and predictability indices.
- Administrative & Policy Data Link:
  - e-Shram registrations (gig/platform category), state welfare board data (where available), accident claims, and grievance outcomes.
- Key Informant Interviews (n≈40):
  - Platform representatives, state labour officials (Rajasthan/Karnataka), unions/collectives, and insurers.
- Identification Strategy:
  - Difference-in-differences using phased state implementation of welfare fees/boards (e.g., Rajasthan 2023; Karnataka 2025) to estimate effects on earnings stability, claims, and deactivations.

Objectives

1. Measure net earnings and volatility in Indian platform work after accounting for fuel, asset depreciation, and unpaid time.
2. Estimate gaps in social protection coverage and effective access to benefits.
3. Assess safety risks and dispute outcomes.
4. Evaluate early impacts of state welfare models on worker security.
5. Recommend a financing and governance design for portable benefits in India.

Literature Review

- Scale and composition. NITI Aayog estimates 7.7 million gig workers in 2020–21, projected to 23.5 million by 2029–30; ~47% in medium-skilled roles.
- Legal recognition. The Code on Social Security, 2020 defines “gig worker” and “platform worker,” enabling targeted schemes and aggregator contributions. Implementation remains work-in-progress.
- International evidence. ILO documents rapid platform growth and highlights informality patterns and the need for fair pay and transparency.
- State innovations. Rajasthan (2023) enacted India’s first platform-gig welfare law with a transaction-linked “welfare fee” and a board; Karnataka (2025) passed a social security and welfare bill with a 1–5% aggregator levy and a welfare fund/board architecture.
- Current dynamics. Reports suggest sharp growth in blue-collar gig hiring driven by e-commerce and delivery, underscoring policy urgency.
- Rights and litigation. A pending Supreme Court matter (IFAT v. Union of India) concerns access to social security for gig workers under unorganised-worker frameworks.

Case Study

A. Rajasthan Platform-Based Gig Workers (Registration and Welfare) Act, 2023

- Design: State-level registration of workers and platforms; transaction-linked Welfare Fee paid by aggregators into a dedicated Welfare Fund; creation of a Welfare Board with tripartite representation; benefits envisaged include accident insurance, health support, and grievance redress.

- Policy Logic: Piggy-back on digital transaction trails to finance portable protections; reduce free-riding across platforms; separate eligibility from employer-employee status (consistent with CSS 2020 categories).

Discussion (Indicative Analytical Lenses for the Empirical Phase)

1. Earnings & Costs: Estimate net hourly pay after fuel, phone/data, vehicle/asset depreciation, and waiting time; assess distribution tails (P10, median, P90) to capture instability.
2. Working Time & Algorithmic Control: Quantify unpaid time and variability; relate to algorithmic scheduling, acceptance/cancellation penalties, and surge incentives. (ILO typologies)
3. Safety & Grievances: Map incident rates and claim outcomes; qualitative narratives highlight risks and barriers to relief. (Recent reporting underscores hazards and limited redress.)
4. Coverage & Take-up: Track insurance, pension micro-savings, and cash support; evaluate frictions in registration/claims under state models and e-Shram.

Conclusion

India's gig economy delivers real efficiencies and employment opportunities but reinforces informalization through earnings volatility, weak voice, and fragmented protection. The policy frontier has shifted from whether to regulate to how to design portable, platform-financed protections that preserve flexibility. Rajasthan's and Karnataka's approaches provide workable starting points; rigorous evaluation is now crucial to calibrate levies, strengthen governance, and integrate with the national e-Shram architecture. Aligning incentives for workers, platforms, and states—grounded in transparent data—offers India a pragmatic path to an inclusive, innovative labour market.

References

- NITI Aayog. (2022). India's Booming Gig and Platform Economy (estimates and projections).
- Ministry of Labour & Employment. (2024). "Gig workers in the country" (PIB press release). P
- Government of India. (2020). Code on Social Security, 2020 (definitions and schemes for gig/platform workers).
- Government of Rajasthan. (2023). Rajasthan Platform-Based Gig Workers (Registration and Welfare) Act—summaries and analyses.
- Government of Karnataka. (2025). Karnataka Platform-Based Gig Workers (Social Security and Welfare) Bill—news report summary.
- International Labour Organization (ILO). (n.d.). Digital labour platforms—concepts and global context.
- Supreme Court Observer. (2025). Gig Workers' Access to Social Security (IFAT v. Union of India)—case tracker.
- BIICL Gig Litigation Database. (n.d.). IFAT v. Union of India (2021)—petition issues under Articles 14, 21, 23.
- WorkIndia Report coverage (2024). Blue-collar gig hiring trends.

www.ijaherd.com
International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development
Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved: ISSN: 2456-4826 Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

Aristotle's Virtue Ethics and Moral Expertise

Paritosh Pathak
Research Scholar, Philosophy
Allahabad University

Paritosh Pathak
Research Scholar, Philosophy
Allahabad University
Indian arthur

Abstract :
This paper investigates whether Aristotle's virtue ethics yields a defensible account of moral expertise—a stable capacity to perceive salient features of situations and choose well for the right reasons. A focused case study from clinical ethics shows how virtuous perception and trained emotion guide action where rules underdetermine outcomes. I conclude that Aristotelian virtue can ground a realistic, teachable model of moral expertise—through habituation, exemplars, and reflective practice—while avoiding elitism by emphasizing practical reasoning as an educable craft.

Keywords: Aristotle; virtue ethics; phronēsis; moral expertise; habituation; moral perception; particularism; practical wisdom

Introduction:

Aristotle's ethics links good action to character and practical wisdom rather than to strict rule-following or utility maximization. A virtuous agent, he writes, "hits the mean" relative to us by seeing what matters, feeling fitting emotions, and deliberating well (NE II.6; VI). Contemporary practice—from medicine and law to public administration—frequently confronts underdetermined cases where codified rules are silent or conflicting. This raises a live question: Can Aristotle's framework explain how some agents reliably navigate hard moral terrain better than others? If yes, in what sense are they moral experts, and how is such expertise cultivated and assessed?

This paper advances three claims. First, Aristotelian phronēsis is a credible model of moral expertise because it combines trained perception, habituated desire, and reason-sensitive judgment. Second, expertise is partly uncodifiable but not mystical: it depends on learnable skills—attending, framing, analogizing, and calibrating emotions. Third, institutions can foster this expertise through exemplar-based learning, deliberate practice, and virtue-conducive cultures.

Hypothesis

1. On an Aristotelian account, phronēsis constitutes a form of moral expertise characterized by reliable salience-perception, appropriate emotion, and reason-responsive choice, which cannot be fully captured by decision rules yet is teachable through habituation and reflective practice.
2. Professionals who score higher on measures of moral perception and reflective equilibrium will demonstrate greater decision stability and ethical sensitivity in hard cases than peers with equivalent technical knowledge but less virtue-oriented training.

Methodology:

Design: Mixed-method, combining philosophical reconstruction with qualitative empirical work.

1. Conceptual Analysis: Close reading of Nicomachean Ethics (Books II–VI) to extract a working model of phronēsis (virtue–reason integration, emotion's role, particularism about judgment).
2. Comparative Theoretical Synthesis: Engage contemporary positions—virtue responsibilism (Zagzebski), neo-Aristotelian ethics (Hursthouse, Annas), emotion and cognition (Nussbaum), moral particularism (Dancy), and expertise theory (Dreyfus model).

3. Qualitative Vignette Study (Exploratory):
    - Participants: 45 professionals—15 physicians (internal medicine), 15 trial judges, 15 senior secondary teachers.
    - Instruments: Three complex moral vignettes per domain with conflicting prima facie reasons; think-aloud protocols; follow-up semi-structured interviews.
    - Coding Scheme: (a) salience mapping; (b) reasons articulation; (c) proportionality and mean-finding; (d) emotion calibration; (e) decision stability under counterfactual stress tests.

4. Analysis: Thematic coding + simple decision-quality proxies (peer assessment panels) to correlate practice tenure, virtue-oriented training, and markers of moral expertise.

Objectives:

1. Reconstruct Aristotle's account of virtue and phronēsis as a theory of moral expertise.
2. Test whether expert-like markers (salience-detection, proportionality, stability) appear in professional decision-making.
3. Clarify the role of emotion and habituation in expert moral judgment.
4. Derive pedagogical implications for cultivating practical wisdom in professional education.
5. Propose assessment rubrics for moral expertise compatible with Aristotelian ethics.

Literature Review :

Aristotle. Virtue is a state of character hitting a mean "as the phronimos would decide" (NE II.6). Phronēsis (NE VI) is an intellectual virtue concerned with particulars and human goods, integrating desire and reason. Moral perception (aisthēsis) is central: the virtuous person sees the salient features correctly.

Neo-Aristotelian Virtue Ethics.

- Hursthouse defends action guidance via virtue rules while insisting on practical discernment in conflict cases.
- Annas models virtue as a skill, developed through practice and understanding, not rote conformity.
- Zagzebski's responsibilism treats intellectual and moral virtues as traits aiming at cognitive and practical success, highlighting exemplars.
- Nussbaum emphasizes emotion as cognitive, shaping evaluative perception.

Particularism & Codifiability.

- Dancy argues moral reasons are context-variable; no finite rule-set settles all cases. Aristotelian perception fits this: rules educate, but judgment in particulars decides.

Expertise & Perception.

- Dreyfus & Dreyfus show that expertise moves from rule-based novices to intuitive, context-sensitive experts—a helpful analogy for phronēsis.
- Benner (nursing) and Klein (recognition-primed decision) provide empirical accounts of expert judgment under pressure, supporting the view that trained perception guides action where time and complexity preclude algorithmic reasoning.

Critiques.

- Concerns about elitism (who counts as phronimos?) and teachability are met by stressing publicly accessible practices: habituation, mentorship, communities of inquiry, and reflective equilibrium rather than appeal to opaque authority.

Case Study: Clinical Ethics at the Bedside

Scenario (composite): A 68-year-old patient with advanced COPD refuses non-invasive ventilation, citing exhaustion, while the family insists on "everything possible." Hospital policy protects autonomy; the care team worries about avoidable suffering and moral distress.

Aristotelian Analysis:

- Salience: The phronimos discerns goods in play—patient's considered will, relief of suffering, family bonds, professional integrity.
- Emotion Calibration: Compassion without sentimentality; steadiness against fear of litigation. Emotions function as tuned perceptions of value.
- Deliberation: Seek the mean between cowardly acquiescence and harsh paternalism. Actions: (1) verify decisional capacity; (2) ensure informed refusal; (3) offer proportionate palliative measures; (4) mediate with family to align expectations; (5) document reasons transparently.
- Outcome Marker: Decision stability across team review; reasons intelligible to laypersons; alleviation of distress while honoring the patient's ends.
- This illustrates how phronēsis integrates trained perception, emotion, and reasons to reach a resolution not dictated by rules alone yet publicly justifiable.

Conclusion:

Aristotle's virtue ethics offers a robust account of moral expertise: not a secret algorithm, but a cultivated power to perceive rightly, feel fittingly, and choose well for good reasons. The conceptual and empirical lenses converge on three insights: (1) moral expertise is partly uncodifiable yet teachable; (2) emotions, far from being obstacles, are cognitive allies when trained by character; (3) institutions can and should build virtue-conducive practices that elevate ordinary professionalism into phronēsis. This makes Aristotelian virtue a live resource for today's professions, helping practitioners act wisely when rules run out.

References:

- Aristotle. Nicomachean Ethics, esp. Books II & VI. (Any standard translation: Irwin; Crisp; Broadie & Rowe.)
- Annas, J. (2011). Intelligent Virtue. Oxford University Press.
- Benner, P. (1984/2001). From Novice to Expert. Addison-Wesley.
- Dancy, J. (2004). Ethics Without Principles. Oxford University Press.
- Dreyfus, H. L., & Dreyfus, S. E. (1986). Mind over Machine. Free Press.
- Hursthouse, R. (1999). On Virtue Ethics. Oxford University Press.
- Klein, G. (1998). Sources of Power: How People Make Decisions. MIT Press.
- Nussbaum, M. C. (2001). Upheavals of Thought: The Intelligence of Emotions. Cambridge University Press.
- Rawls, J. (1971/1999). A Theory of Justice. (For reflective equilibrium method.)
- Zagzebski, L. (1996). Virtues of the Mind. Cambridge University Press.

www.ijaherd.com
International Journal of Advance Higher Education Research & Development
Peer Reviewed and UGC Approved: ISSN: 2456-4826 Vol. 6 No. 12, Dec. 2022

Phase Separation in Transcriptional Control — Mechanisms, Evidence, and Open Questions

Ogunkanmi Kelechi
Research Scholar, Cell Biology & Genetics
University of Lagos

Ogunkanmi Kelechi
Research Scholar, Cell Biology & Genetics
University of Lagos
International Arthur

Abstract :
Transcription in eukaryotes emerges from highly concentrated interactions among transcription factors (TFs), co-activators, Mediator, and RNA polymerase II (Pol II). A growing body of work proposes that many of these interactions assemble into liquid-like condensates via biomolecular phase separation, thereby compartmentalizing and tuning gene control—especially at super-enhancers. This paper synthesizes conceptual foundations, reviews key evidence (co-activator condensation at super-enhancers; activation domains with phase-separation capacity; Pol II CTD clustering), and outlines an empirical strategy that integrates live-cell imaging, biophysical perturbations, and functional genomics.

Keywords: phase separation; transcriptional condensates; super-enhancers; BRD4; MED1; RNA polymerase II CTD; intrinsically disordered regions (IDRs); gene regulation

Structure of the Paper

1 Introduction:

Regulation of gene expression requires spatiotemporally coordinated assembly of TFs, co-activators, chromatin remodelers, Mediator, and Pol II. Super-enhancers (SEs)—clusters of enhancers driving high expression of cell-identity genes—appear to concentrate this apparatus and show unusual sensitivity to perturbation. A phase-separation model proposes that multivalent, IDR-rich factors condense into liquid-like compartments that (i) raise local concentrations, (ii) promote rapid exchange, and (iii) facilitate transcriptional bursting. This model has been used to explain SE behavior, enhancer–promoter communication, and the emergence of transcriptional hubs.

Hypothesis

1. H1. Multivalent interactions among IDR-containing TF activation domains, BRD4/MED1 co-activators, Mediator, and Pol II CTD drive the formation of liquid-like condensates at active regulatory elements; these condensates are causal for high transcriptional output and characteristic bursting at SE-controlled genes.
2. H2. Disrupting condensate material properties (e.g., by mutating IDRs, altering CTD length/charge, or applying condensate-perturbing chemicals) reduces local factor concentration and attenuates gene activation even when TF DNA binding is preserved.

Methodology (Empirical & Analytical Plan)

1. Live-cell Imaging & Biophysics
   - Quantify puncta at enhancers using FRAP to test liquidity (fast exchange), and optogenetic clustering to probe condensate nucleation thresholds.
   - Apply 1,6-hexanediol or targeted small-molecule modulators of BRD4/Mediator to perturb weak hydrophobic interactions and test transcriptional consequences.
2. Molecular Perturbations
   - IDR mutagenesis/chimera in MED1/BRD4 and TF activation domains to decouple DNA binding from condensability.
   - Pol II CTD editing (repeat number/serine phosphorylation mutants) to modulate clustering propensity and bursting kinetics.
3. Functional Genomics
   - PRO-seq/TT-seq for nascent transcription; single-cell RNA-seq to quantify bursting parameters; CUT&Tag for BRD4/MED1/Pol II occupancy; HiChIP/Hi-C for enhancer–promoter proximity under perturbations.
4. Quantitative Criteria
   - Distinguish LLPS from static scaffolds by testing concentration thresholds, fusion behavior, internal rearrangement (FRAP half-times), and sensitivity to weak-interaction disruptors while controlling for chromatin tethering.

Objectives

1. Test whether enhancer-localized puncta satisfy physical/operational criteria for LLPS and are necessary for high transcriptional output.
2. Identify sequence/biophysical determinants (IDR composition; CTD length/charge) that tune condensate formation and bursting.
3. Map the causal link between condensate perturbation and changes in transcriptional kinetics, enhancer–promoter contacts, and gene expression.
4. Benchmark LLPS against alternative “hub” models using shared quantitative readouts.

Literature Review

- Conceptual Model. Hnisz et al. proposed a phase-separation framework for transcription, connecting SE sensitivity, transcriptional bursting, and multi-valent protein–protein interactions to condensate formation.
- Co-activator Condensation at SEs. Sabari et al. showed BRD4 and MED1 form liquid-like puncta at SEs; disrupting condensates reduced activation, linking phase separation to gene control.
- Activation Domains as Drivers. Boija et al. demonstrated that diverse TF activation domains phase-separate with Mediator, directly tying TF activation capacity to condensability.
- Pol II CTD Clustering. Multiple studies report Pol II CTD–dependent hubs/condensates; CTD length/disorder modulate bursting and clustering behavior.
- Mechanistic Reviews & Nuance. Recent syntheses compare condensate and non-condensate hub models, emphasize measurement rigor, and debate when condensation helps or hinders transcription
- Dynamics at Enhancers. Work on enhancer features and modeling indicates multivalency/IDRs can drive condensates and influence contact dynamics and gene bursting.
- Therapeutic Angles. Reviews note that LLPS on enhancers can be drug targets in cancer (e.g., BRD4 condensates).

Case Study: Co-activator vs Pol II Condensates

A. BRD4/MED1 Condensates at Super-Enhancers

Evidence. At SEs, BRD4 and MED1 assemble into nuclear foci that meet several LLPS criteria: high local concentration, rapid internal exchange, fusion behavior, and sensitivity to weak-interaction disruptors; perturbing their IDRs or phase behavior attenuates transcription at SE-driven genes. Mechanism: IDR-mediated multivalence concentrates co-activators, attracts Mediator/TFs, and stabilizes Pol II engagement to amplify bursting. Prediction: IDR weakening lowers burst frequency/amplitude without abolishing DNA occupancy.

B. Pol II CTD–Driven Clusters

Evidence. The CTD of Pol II (heptad repeats) can self-associate and interact with co-activators, forming clusters/condensates whose properties depend on CTD length and phosphorylation state; modulating CTD alters transcriptional bursting kinetics. Prediction: Reducing repeat number or altering phosphorylation patterns decreases condensate stability and coordinated initiation at active loci.

Comparative Insight

Co-activator and Pol II condensates may cooperate: co-activator droplets nucleate at enhancers, recruit Mediator/TFs, and in turn promote CTD clustering at nearby promoters, producing a transient "three-way" interaction landscape during bursts. Recent imaging supports transient enhancer–promoter–condensate couplings during activation.

Discussion & Limitations

- Causality vs Correlation. Many puncta are consistent with LLPS by imaging criteria but require causal perturbation (domain swaps, targeted IDR mutations, phosphorylation control, small-molecule disruption) to distinguish mechanism from mere co-localization.
- Material Diversity. Condensates can range from liquid-like to gel-like; chromatin tethering and molecular crowding complicate physical interpretation. Experimental designs must measure thresholds, fusion, internal dynamics, and recovery kinetics alongside function.
- Context Dependence. Not all hubs need LLPS; some may be scaffolded assemblies or transient binding clusters. A pluralistic model may best fit the data, where LLPS is one regime among others that cells exploit under high transcriptional demand.

Conclusion:

Phase separation offers a compelling, testable model for concentrating the transcription machinery at enhancers and super-enhancers. Convergent evidence—from co-activator condensation (BRD4/MED1), TF activation-domain multivalency, and Pol II CTD clustering—links condensate properties to transcriptional bursting and output. The next phase demands quantitative, perturbation-driven studies that adjudicate between LLPS and alternative hub models across genomic contexts. Well-designed experiments—integrating live biophysics, precise molecular edits, and nascent-transcription readouts—can reveal when and how cells deploy condensates to control genes.

References

1. Hnisz, D., et al. (2017). A Phase Separation Model for Transcriptional Control. Cell. Conceptual framework linking SEs and condensates.
2. Sabari, B.R., et al. (2018). Coactivator condensation at super-enhancers links phase separation and gene control. Science. Experimental evidence for BRD4/MED1 condensates at SEs.
3. Boija, A., et al. (2018). Transcription Factors Activate Genes through the Phase-Separation Capacity of Their Activation Domains. Cell. TF activation domains drive Mediator-associated condensates.
4. Boehning, M., et al. (2018). RNA polymerase II clustering through CTD phase separation. bioRxiv preprint—Pol II CTD-dependent hubs.
5. Quintero-Cadena, P., et al. (2020). RNA Pol II Length and Disorder Enable Cooperative Transcriptional Control. Molecular Cell—CTD length/disorder modulate bursting.